

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/601,451	STEWART ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Mahmoud Gimie	3747	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mahmoud Gimie, Primary Examiner. (3) _____.

(2) Michael McNeil, Attorney of record. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 01 February, 2005.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,8 and 15.

Identification of prior art discussed: Shafer et al (6,725,838).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' attorney identified the limitation of "relieving fuel pressure in a second needle control chamber" as lacking in Shafer et al, and provisionally agreed to amend claims 8 and 15 to describe the same feature.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


MAHMOUD GIMIE
PRIMARY EXAMINER
2/22/05

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required